#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Proposed Policies and Programs Governing Energy Efficiency, Low-Income Assistance, Renewable Energy and Research Development and Demonstration.

Rulemaking 98-07-037 (Filed July 23, 1998)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING APPROVING PROPOSED OUTLINE FOR UTILITY/NONUTILITY ADMINISTRATOR COMPARISON REPORT

Per my ruling dated April 24, 2002 in this proceeding, the self-generation program administrators have prepared, in close coordination with Energy Division, a proposed outline for the utility/nonutility administrator comparison report required by the Commission. A copy of the proposed outline is attached. I have reviewed the proposal, in consultation with Energy Division, and find it to be reasonable.

IT IS RULED that the attached outline is approved for the utility/nonutility administrator comparison report, due for filing on August 1, 2003.

Dated April 15, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ MEG GOTTSTEIN

Meg Gottstein

Administrative Law Judge

## CALIFORNIA SELF-GENERATION INCENTIVES PROGRAM UTILITY/NONUTILITY ADMINISTRATOR COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT REPORT OUTLINE

- I. Executive Summary
- II. Introduction and Objectives of Second-Year Program Evaluation
  - A. Overview of California's Energy Climate and Distributed Generation Market
  - B. Review of Regional Market Drivers Impacting Program Participation
  - C. Second-Year Process Evaluation
  - D. Second-Year Impact Evaluation
- III. Comparative Assessment Objectives and Approach
  - A. Overview of Utility/Nonutility Objectives
  - B. Approach/Methodology Employed
- IV. Organizational Description of Existing Administrators
  - A. Utility Administrators
  - **B.** Nonutility Administrators
  - C. Role of SGD&E

- V. Data Collection and Segmentation by Administrator/Grouping
  - A. Administrator Program Tracking Database
  - B. Net Electric Generator Output Interval Data
  - C. Useful Thermal Energy Compliance Data
  - D. On-Site Verification Facility Data Collection
  - E. Program Administrator In-Depth Interviews
  - F. Participant In-Depth Interviews
  - G. Nonparticipant Surveys
  - H. Supply Channel Surveys
- VI. Program Status and Participant Characterization by Administrator
  - A. Summary of Projects
  - B. Host Customer Participant Profile
  - C. Third-Party Applicant Description
  - D. Supply Channel Characterization
  - E. Administrator Tracking Database Review

- VII. Process Review by Administrator Group
  - A. Effectiveness of Program Implementation
  - B. Operational Efficiency Comparison
  - C. Participant Satisfaction
  - D. Program Awareness
  - E. Comparison of Administrator Marketing Strategies and Results
  - F. Review of Field Verification and Inspection Process
  - G. Summary of Findings
- VIII. Administrator Coordination of Participation in Other Incentive Programs
  - A. State and Local Program Coordination
  - B. Other Programs Coordination
- IX. Review of Administrator-Specific System Monitoring and Data Collection Activities
  - A. Objectives of Administrator Monitoring Activities
  - B. Review of Administrator-Specific Monitoring/Tracking Approach
  - C. Review of System Data Collection
  - D. Review of Utility Data Exchange Process
  - E. Review of Administrator Quality Control Procedures

- X. Comparison of Program Operations Impacts by Administrator Group
  - A. Overall Program Impacts by Administrator Group
  - B. Incentive Level 1 Renewable Energy Systems
  - C. Incentive Level 2 Nonrenewable Fuel Cells
  - D. Incentive Level 3-N: Microturbines, IC Engines, & Small Gas Turbines Using Nonrenewable Fuels
  - E. Incentive Level 3-R: Microturbines, IC Engines, & Small Gas Turbines Using Renewable Fuels
- XI. Conclusions and Recommendations of Administrator Comparative Assessment

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Approving Proposed Outline for Utility/Nonutility Administrator Comparison Report on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated April 15, 2003, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ TERESITA C. GALLARDO
Teresita C. Gallardo

#### NOTICE

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074, TTY 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.